2017-2018 Assessment Cycle COS_Mathematics PhD

Mission (due 12/4/17)

University Mission

The University of Louisiana at Lafayette offers an exceptional education informed by diverse worldviews grounded in tradition, heritage, and culture. We develop leaders and innovators who advance knowledge, cultivate aesthetic sensibility, and improve the human condition.

University Values

We strive to create a community of leaders and innovators in an environment that fosters a desire to advance and disseminate knowledge. We support the mission of the university by actualizing our core values of equity, integrity, intellectual curiosity, creativity, tradition, transparency, respect, collaboration, pluralism, and sustainability.

University Vision

We strive to be included in the top 25% of our peer institutions by 2020, improving our national and international status and recognition.

College / VP and Program / Department Mission

Mission of College or VP-area

Provide the mission for the College or VP-area in the space provided. If none is available, write "None Available in 2017-2018."

Our mission is to serve our students, the citizens of Louisiana, the nation, and the world, through innovative and stimulating educational experiences and compelling research initiatives that create knowledge, deepen our basic understanding of the world around us, further economic development, and enhance quality of life. In support of our mission, The College of Sciences seeks to:

Develop broad-thinking students into mature, ethical professionals, scientists, and researchers with the necessary creativity, critical thinking, and problem solving skills required to make significant contributions to industry, government, and the academic sector.

Recruit and support top-notch teaching and research faculty engaged in scientific endeavors that are recognized nationally for their relevance and impact.

Enrich scientific research and education through on-campus collaborations, multidisciplinary programs, large-scale multi-institution initiatives, as well as partnerships with government and industry.

Foster scientific literacy within the University, the citizens of Louisiana, and the nation by providing stimulating courses for our students and by partnering with educators at the K-12 and community college level.

Provide leadership in the translation and application of research into practical solutions that will benefit our local community, the state of Louisiana, our natural environment, industries of the Gulf Coast region, and society as a whole.

The Ray P. Authement College of Sciences will emerge as a preeminent college of sciences in the Southeast and Gulf Coast region of the United States. The College will be recognized nationally for its innovative education, scholarly research activities addressing our nation's grand challenges, and for its diverse student body with exemplary academic achievements, leadership abilities, and global perspectives.

Mission of Program / Department

Provide the program / department mission in the space provided. The mission statement should concisely define the purpose, functions, and key constituents. If none is available, write "None Available in 2017-2018."

The mission of the graduate program is to educate and prepare students to make original contributions to mathematical sciences and to apply their knowledge to solve the important problems facing society. The goal of the Ph.D. program is to provide the student with a preparation that has general breadth, and depth in a particular topic, that will enable the student to engage in (i) original research in the mathematical sciences; (ii) advanced application of mathematical knowledge and techniques in private industry or professional settings; (iii) teach advanced mathematics at the college and graduate level. In addition, the graduate will have already contributed original research to the corpus of mathematical knowledge.

Our graduate program is committed to the following core values: Excellence in teaching and research; discovery of new knowledge; diversity in our students; professional and personal integrity. Our graduate program has been a central part of the teaching and research mission of our department, and is an important component of our long term planning. Our commitment to graduate education has enhanced our reputation. Our focus on excellent education is consistent with the College and University's focus on facilitating quality teaching and learning. Our focus on students' preparation is consistent with the College and University's focus on and values are consistent with those for graduate programs in mathematics nationwide.

Attachment (optional)

Upload any documents which support the program / department assessment process.

Assessment Plan (due 12/4/17)

Assessment Plan (Goals / Objectives, Assessment Measures and Criteria for Success)

Goal/Objective	Breadth of knowledge(Imported)					
Legends	SLO - Student Lear	ning Outcome/Objective (academic	units);			
Standards/Outcomes						
Assessment Measures						
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments			
	Direct - Comprehensive	To demonstrate breadth of knowledge, each candidate is required to pass three written				

Assessment List

	· · · · · ·	
Exam (graduate level)	comprehensive examination in a variety of content areas. Each exam is prepared and evaluated by a committee made up of at least three mathematics graduate faculty members who have expertise in that particular field. The committee follows a departmental rubric in evaluating the candidate's performance. Success is defined as at least 75% of students who attempt written comprehensive exams in a calendar year will be given a rating which is at least satisfactory in accordance with thedepartmental rubrics.	

Goal/Objective	Depth of knowledge	e(Imported)	
Legends	SLO - Student Lear	ning Outcome/Objective (academic	units);
Standards/Outcomes			
Assessment Measures			
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments
	Direct - Comprehensive Exam (graduate level)	A candidate will demonstrate depth of knowledge by passing an oral exam in his or her area of research specialization, following at least two semesters of advanced courses in that area. The exam is given by a committee of at least three mathematics graduate faculty members with expertise in the field, and evaluated in accordance to departmental rubrics. Success is defined as at least 75% of students who attempt the oral exam in a given calendar year are rated as at least "Satisfactory" in accordance to the departmental rubric.	

Goal/Objective	Presentation of	Mathematical Research - Thesis defense	se(Imported)
Legends	SLO - Student L	earning Outcome/Objective (academic	units);
Standards/Outcomes			
Assessment Measures			
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments
	Direct - Thesis	A candidate will demonstrate the ability to present complex mathematical ideas and arguments, both orally and in writing, in a coherent, comprehensible, and correct manner. In particular, a candidate should be able to compile research results into a format for submission to a professional journal for publication. Success is defined as at least 75% of students defending their dissertation in a calendar year will be evaluated as at least "Satisfactory" by the defense committee. At least 70% of graduates will have submitted one or more research papers to a refereed professional journal at most one year after successfully defending the dissertation, and at least 50% of graduates will have a paper accepted for publication within the same time-frame.	

Goal/Objective	Ability to conduct original research(Imported)
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);
Standards/Outcomes	
Assessment Measures	

Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments
Direct - Thesis	A doctoral candidate in the degree program will demonstrate the ability to contribute to the overall body of mathematical knowledge by successfully carrying out original research in the area of specialty and incorporating research into a Ph.D. dissertation.	

Program / Department Assessment Narrative

The primary purpose of assessment is to use data to inform decisions and improve programs (student learning) and departments (operations); this is an on-going process of defining goals and expectations, collecting results, analyzing data, comparing current and past results and initiatives, and making decisions based on these reflections. In the space below, describe the program's or department's overall plan for improving student learning and/or operations (the "assessment plan"). Consider the following:

1) What strategies exist to assess the outcomes?

2) What does the program/department expect to achieve with the goals and objectives identified above?

3) How might prior or current initiatives (improvements) influence the anticipated outcomes this year?

4) What is the plan for using data to improve student learning and/or operations?5) How will data be shared within the Program/Department (and, where appropriate, the College/VP-area)?

Assessment Process

For exams, defenses, and comprehensive exams, the outcomes are assessed through feedback from examiners on the performance of the students. By requiring these activities of the students, the Department ensures that the student has retained the knowledge gained through their time in the program, and they are able to synthesize it into a coherent whole.

This preparation will allow the students to teach at an advanced level (high school and undergraduate), an activity that is most successful when the teacher has a deep understanding of the subject matter and is knowledgeable about its connections with other areas; to apply the knowledge to problem-solving in the real world; or to continue through to either research institutions or academia at the Graduate level, which requires the ability to conduct original research.

Prior outcomes have highlighted certain issues, most particularly that there is not always consistency in expected level from year to year in the comprehensive exams. The Department is working to establish solid baselines that can be used (and slowly modified as needed). We expect this data will also inform the content of the basic courses, thus ensuring a more uniform level among graduates. Students are also receiving stronger feedback on their performance and the

expectations the program has of them.

Data on the performance of students is shared with the Dean of the College and with members of the Department during each start-of-the-semester meeting; for those courses which have not enjoyed a stable level of expectations, more active feedback to the professors is already being undertaken and will continue throughout the academic year.

Results & Improvements (due 9/15/18)

Results and Improvement Narratives

knowledge(Import	ed)							
Goal/Objective	Breadth of knowledge(Imported)							
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);							
Standards/Outco mes								
Assessment Measures								
	Assessment Criterion Measure							
	Direct - Comprehensiv Exam (gradua level)		To demonstrate breadth of knowledge, each candidate is required to pass three written comprehensive examination in a variety of con areas. Each exam is prepared and evaluated committee made up of at least three mathema graduate faculty members who have expertise that particular field. The committee follows a departmental rubric in evaluating the candidat performance. Success is defined as at least 7 of students who attempt written comprehensiv exams in a calendar year will be given a rating which is at least satisfactory in accordance wi thedepartmental rubrics.		ritten ety of content aluated by a nathematics expertise in llows a candidate's it least 75% rehensive n a rating			
Assessment Findings								
	Assessmen t Measure	Criterion		Summary	Attachmen ts of the Assessme nts	Improveme nt Narratives		
	Direct - Comprehen sive Exam	Has the criterion To demonstrate breadth of knowledge,		There were 34 individual comprehens ive exams		- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring:		

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Breadth of knowledge(Imported)

(graduate	each	taken during	We are
level)	candidate is	the cycle.	continuing
	required to	24 of them	to monitor
	pass three	(71.6%)	progress of
	written	received a	students,
	comprehensi	rating of at	and making
	ve	least	sure that
	examination	satisfactory,	they
	in a variety	failing by	prepare
	of content	two exams	adequately
	areas. Each	to meet the	for the
	exam is	75%	comprehens
		threshold.	
	prepared		ive exams.
	and	Of the 24	The failure
	evaluated by	that passed,	here was
	a committee	the majority	marginal
	made up of	(20) passed	and
	at least three	comfortably,	possibly the
	mathematics	being rated	result of a
	graduate	"Very	statistical
	faculty	satisfactory"	blip.
	members	or	
	who have	"Exceptional	
	expertise in	" by all	
	that	examiners.	
	particular		
	field. The		
	committee		
	follows a		
	departmental		
	rubric in		
	evaluating		
	the		
	candidate's		
	performance		
	. Success is		
	defined as at		
	least 75% of		
	students		
	who attempt		
	written		
	comprehensi		
	ve exams in		
	a calendar		
	year will be		
	given a		
	rating which		
	is at least		
	satisfactory		
	in		
	accordance		
	with		

thedepartme ntal rubrics. been met yet? Not met	

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Depth of knowledge(Imported)

Goal/Objective	Depth of knowledge(Imported)							
Legends	SLO - Student L	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);						
Standards/Outco mes								
Assessment Measures								
	Assessment Measure							
		Comprehensive Exam (graduate level) by passing an oral e research specializat semesters of advan exam is given by a mathematics gradua expertise in the field to departmental rub least 75% of studen in a given calendar		ing an oral exa h specialization ers of advance given by a con natics graduate in the field, a rtmental rubric 5% of students en calendar ye	nonstrate depth of knowledge xam in his or her area of ion, following at least two ced courses in that area. The committee of at least three ate faculty members with , and evaluated in accordance rics. Success is defined as at ts who attempt the oral exam year are rated as at least ordance to the departmental			
Assessment Findings								
	Assessment Measure	,						
	Direct - Comprehensi ve Exam (graduate level)	cano will dem e de knov	rion A didate onstrat pth of wledge assing	Two students completed their oral examinatio n in the cycle. Both were scored as		- Assessmen t Process: Continuous monitoring: Oral exam results have improved;		

 -		
exam in his	"Highly	we have
or her area	Satisfactory	encouraged
of research	" or	students to
specializati	"Outstandin	engage in
on,	g" by all	"mock oral
following at	examiners.	exams" with
least two	The goal	more
semesters	was met.	advanced
of		students,
advanced		and to
courses in		interact with
that area.		faculty
The exam		members in
is given by		their
а		committee
committee		ahead of
of at least		time so
three		expectation
mathematic		s are clear.
s graduate		We will
faculty		continue
members		with these
with		activities.
expertise in		
the field,		
and		
evaluated		
in .		
accordance		
to		
department		
al rubrics.		
Success is		
defined as		
at least		
75% of		
students		
who		
attempt the		
oral exam		
in a given		
calendar		
year are		
rated as at		
least		
"Satisfactor		
y" in		
accordance		
to the		
department		
al rubric.		
been met		

	yet? Met		

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Presentation of Mathematical Research - Thesis defense(Imported)

Goal/Objective	Presentation of Mathematical Research - Thesis defense(Imported)				
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);				
Standards/Outco mes					
Assessment Measures					
	Assessmen Measure	t Criterion			
	Direct - ThesisA candidate will demonstrate the ability to present complex mathematical ideas and arguments, both orally and in writing, in a coherent, comprehensible, and correct manner. In particular, a candidate should be able to compile research results into a format for submission to a professional journal for publication. Success is defined as at least 75% of students defending their dissertation in a calendar year will be evaluated as at least "Satisfactory" by the defense 				
Assessment Findings					
	Assessme nt Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachment s of the Assessmen ts	Improveme nt Narratives
	Direct - Thesis	Has the criterion A candidate will demonstrate the ability to present complex mathematical ideas and	Three students defended their thesis during the cycle. All of them were		- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: Expectation s of thesis defenses are clearly

	arguments,	rated	communicat
	both orally	"highly	ed with the
	and in writing,	satisfactor	students
i	in a coherent,	y" by all	and their
	comprehensib	examiners	performance
	le, and correct		is high. We
	manner. In		will continue
	particular, a		this way.
	candidate		une nagi
	should be		
	able to		
	compile		
	research		
	results into a		
	format for		
	submission to		
	a professional		
	journal for		
	publication.		
	Success is		
	defined as at		
	least 75% of		
	students		
	defending		
	their		
	dissertation in		
	a calendar		
	year will be		
	, evaluated as		
	at least		
	"Satisfactory"		
	by the		
	defense		
	committee. At		
	least 70% of		
	graduates will		
	have		
	submitted one		
	or more		
	research		
	papers to a		
	refereed		
	professional		
	journal at		
	most one year		
	after		
	successfully		
	defending the		
	dissertation,		
	and at least		
	50% of		
			1

have a paper accepted for publication within the same time- frame. been met yet? Met		

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Ability to conduct original research(Imported)

Goal/Objective	Ability to conduct original research(Imported)					
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);					
Standards/Outcom es						
Assessment Measures		Γ				
	Assessment Measure	Crite	ion			
	Direct - Thesi	Thesis A doctoral candidate in the degree program will demonstrate the ability to contribute to the overall body of mathematical knowledge by successfully carrying out original research in the area of specialty and incorporating research into a Ph.D. dissertation.				
Assessment Findings						
	Assessme nt Measure	Criterio	n Summary	Attachment s of the Assessmen ts	Improveme nt Narratives	
	Direct - Thesis	Has the criterion doctoral candidat in the degree program will demonst	e completed their work during the cycle had published		- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: Expectation is clear and all faculty engage with students to	

body of mathematic al knowledge by successfull y carrying out original research in the area of specialty and incorporatin	dissertatio n) while conducting the research and prior to completing the program. Two of them had submitted	to ensure continued success in this item.
research in the area of	the program.	
and	them had	
into a Ph.D. dissertation . been met	two papers (with at least one	
yet? Met	accepted).	

Reflection (Due 9/15/18)

Reflection

The primary purpose of assessment is to use data to inform decisions and improve programs and operations; this is an on-going process of defining goals and expectations, collecting results, analyzing data, comparing current and past results and initiatives, and making decisions based on these reflections. Recalling this purpose, respond to the questions below.

1) How were assessment results shared in the program / department? Please select all that apply. If "other", please use the text box to elaborate. Distributed via email (selected) Presented formally at staff / department / committee meetings (selected) Discussed informally Other (explain in text box below)

2) How frequently were assessment results shared?

Frequently (>4 times per cycle) Periodically (2-4 times per cycle) (selected) Once per cycle Results were not shared this cycle

3) With whom were assessment results shared?

Please select all that apply. Department Head Dean / Asst. or Assoc. Dean Departmental assessment committee (selected) Other faculty / staff (selected)

4) Consider the impact of prior applied changes. Specifically, compare current results to previous results to evaluate the impact of a previously reported change. Demonstrate how the use of results improved student learning and/or operations.

The results on the oral examination have improved overall, as we have tried to create a web of peer support for the exams which students take advantage of. Better coordination with faculty has also helped to clarify expectations and requirements.

The issues with the written comprehensive are more cyclical in nature. Two ill-prepared students in this case were sufficient to tip the results from "met" to "not met". Providing better access to students to prior exams and ensuring that comprehensive committee set reasonable expectations will be a priority for the coming cycle.

5) Over the past three assessment cycles, what has been the overall impact of "closing the loop"? Provide examples of improvements in student learning, program quality, or department operations that are directly linked to assessment data and follow-up analysis.

We have improved the results on the Oral Examination, with students generally passing the oral examination on the first try, and attempting the exam at a more uniform point in the program (where previously the variation was much greater).

Attachments (optional)

Upload any documents which support the program / department assessment process.